## **Trident Post Cruise Assessment Report**

Cruise Information:		
Ship: R/V Trident	Area of Operations:	
Cruise Dates:	Chief Scientist:	
PIs and Funding Agencies:		
PI #1:	_ Funding Agency:	
Type of Work:		
- J <sub>F</sub> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Ship Personnel:		
Master: 2 <sup>nd</sup> Master:		
Completer's Information:		
-	Completer's Position on this Cruise:	
Completer's Institution:	-	
Assessment:		
1. To what extent were the planned scien	ce objectives of this cruise met? (circle one)	
91-100% 81%-90% 71%		
Please provide a BRIEF, 1-2 sentence de	scription of the science objectives for this cruise (ie. CTD casts, survey	
-	ase specify how the service and support of the ship contributed to the	
	e science objectives, especially if not all of the objectives were met (ie.	
weather, equipment failure, etc.).	5 / 1 5 5	
***Note: For the following questions ple	ease rate each of the following aspect of the cruise according to a scale of	
• • •	tire that you rate yourself. You may leave these sections blank, or you may	
-		
-	explain situations encountered during the cruise.	
	w and techs performed at a superior level.	
-	their way to assist, all equipment operational, and calibrations current.	
Good: Crew and techs were mostly coop	-	
Fair: No major conflicts between scienti		
Poor: Crew and or techs poorly trained of	or uncooperative, ship poorly outfitted.	
Provide specific comments to support yo	ur ratings, be sure to include suggestions for improvement in the future, and	
positive feedback when something is well	ll done. The goal of this section is to answer "were you pleased with the	
service and support provided?"		
	buted to achieving the scientific objectives of this cruise (pre-cruise	
planning, communication, adequate perso Excellent Very Good Good	onnel, equipment, attention to safety, organization, etc.). (circle one) I Fair Poor N/A	
•		
r lease provide suggestions of comments	for improving science party participation.	

<ul> <li>3. Rate how well ship operator pre-cruise activities (planning, coordination, and logistics) and shore support contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this cruise.</li> <li>Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor N/A</li> <li>Please provide suggestions or comments for improving the pre-cruise planning and coordination, logistics, or shore support.</li> </ul>
4. Rate how well the ship operator supplied scientific equipment and marine technicians supported this cruise (appropriate equipment, equipment operational and ready for cruise, calibrations, documentation, technicians trained and familiar with equipment). Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor N/A Please provide suggestions or comments regarding the operator supplied scientific equipment and the technical support for this cruise.
5. Rate how well the scheduling of this cruise supported achieving the scientific objectives of this cruise (appropriate ship, year, season & dates, communications regarding schedules, online systems and scheduling process). Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor N/A Please provide suggestions or comments regarding any aspects of the scheduling process or ship assignment.
6 Rate the level of safety in shipboard and science operations (safety briefing and instructions, procedures & equipment). Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor N/A
Please provide suggestions or comments regarding any safety aspects of of the cruise, ship, crew or science party.
7. Rate how well the officers and crew and the manner in which the research vessel was operated contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this cruise (communications, ship handling, deck procedures, attitude towards the science objectives, training, adequate number of crew, shipboard routine, etc.). Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor N/A Please provide suggestions or comments regarding aspects of ship's captain and crew support.
8 Rate how well the research vessel and its installed equipment contributed to achieving the scientific objectives of this cruise (material condition, readiness, living conditions and habitability, condition of lab spaces, design, layout, deck equipment, winches, cranes, frames, propulsion, power, etc.).

excellent very Good Good Fair Poor

Please provide suggestions or comments regarding the vessel and its installed equipment, or enhanced capabilities which would aid in your research.

9. Number of science days lost due to: Weather: \_\_\_\_\_ Ship, Ship's Propulsion, Power, Crew, etc: \_\_\_\_\_ Ship's Scientific Equipment: \_\_\_\_\_ User Provided Scientific Equipment: \_\_\_\_\_ Please explain reasons for days lost.